Dead or alive . (1989, August 15). India Today.

V. PIRABHAKARAN **Dead or Alive?**

T is a death that has been reported at least half a dozen times. During his turbulent career as a militant leader, LTTE supremo Velupillai Pirabhakaran has been 'killed' by rivals, by colleagues, by Sri Lankan forces and by the IPKF.

But last week, there were the strongest indications yet that the LTTE leader had been killed, somewhere in the impenetrable jungle between the northern and castern province. The assailant: his deputy Mahattya alias Mahendra Raja. What apparently triggered off the killing

In a swift move. Premadasa dispatched three ministers—Foreign Minis-ter Wijeratne, Plantations Minister Gamini Dissanayake and Rural Industries Minister S. Thondaman—to Trincomalee to talk to the Chief Minister of the Provincial Council, Varadaraja Perumal, about the devolution package. The östensible reason for this mission was the argument advanced by some members of the ruling advanced by some members of the ruling United National Party (Wny) that the EPRAF was feeling neglected due to Colombo's-talks with the TITE, Indian diplomats call this a clever ployto keep a line open to the EPRLF if reports about Pirabhakaran's death and the disarray of the LITE prove true. In 'any case, Perumal chose this moment to thumb his nose at the sudden waving of an olive branch by telling Premadasa's emissaries that the devolu-Premadasa's emissaries that the devolu Teinadas a semisaria and development tion package as adopted by the Sri Lankan Parliament was inadequate. But the fact that the ministerial team urged Perumal to draw updraft proposals,

of the kind of devolution he wanted, indicated that Premadasa was keen on projecting himself as a peace-maker who was trying to unite the country against Big Daddy India. Significantly, former President Jayewardene, who had earlier Premadasa, declared that the rest must return if President Premadasa wanted it to (see box). He also rejected India's contention that the IPKF's withdrawal was linked to its commitment to safeguard the security and interests of the Tamils.

last week. Premadasa's efforts to Bhiste week, remands a benors to be a second by the second second second second second spectrum of the country's political parties. Said Ossie Abbergunasekera, national organiser of the Sri Lanka Mahajana Party: "The demand for withdrawal of the THEFT has almost unanimous support al-though there are reasonable fears about security considerations among sections of Tamils and about law and order in their arcas after a total pull out." Abhey-gunasekera also came up with a sugges, tion which other well-wishers of both countries have advocated—that India announce the withdrawal of a substantial number of troops by August, and that the defence ministers – of both countries finalise a phased withdrawal which could be announced simultaneously.

But before July 28, the situation indeed seemed quite hopeless. Premadasa's sharply-worded, six-page letter had re-sulted in a hardening of attitudes on both sides. Premadasa reminded Rajiv that the mkr, two years after its arrival, had not been able to ensure a cessation of hostilities and the disarming of militants, though "at the time of the signing of the agreement it was envisaged that this agreement it was envisaged that this process would not take more than five days". Premadasa also informed Rajiv that he had always held the view that the problems of the Tamil groups in Sri banka should be resolved not by

the use of force, but by the process of consultation, compromise and consen-sus. "The events of the past months have proved the wisdom of my ap-proach as the LTTE, once the most intractable of groups, have now agreed to eschew violence and join the mainstream political democracy," wrote in the letter. of

Premadasa also threatened to internationalise tened to internationalise the issue and abrogate the Indo-Sri Lanka treaty. The last paragraph of his letter read:, "If it is your view that the agreement

Pirabhakaran is indeed dead. Mahattya is known to be a hawk who will never entertain the thought of a negotiated settlement outside the demand for an

independent Eelam. The LITE, however, denies reports of the death. It accuses the Indian intelli-gence agency. RAW. of spreading the rumours to create confusion among their cadres and to sabotage the peace Government. If that was New Delhi's intention, then it appeared to have scored a hit as a Sri Lankan ministerial delegation quickly began negotiations with the EPRLF on a devolution pack-age. – -CHIDANAND RAJCHATTA

irabhakaran was reported to have been shot dead by his own deputy, Mahattya. Mahattya: and (left) Pirabhakaran brother" and the fact of his having taken

was an altercation over the assassination of Tamil moderate leader, Amirthalingam, that Pirabhakaran had tion been opposed to. Mahattya was also said to have shot dead Kitth, former area commander of Jaffna.

commander of Jälfna. While the reports of his death could-not be fully confirmed, other reports from the northern province spoke of a public mourning in several places— Pirabhakaran's hot reproducts Pirabhakaran's photographs were placed in public squares and garlanded. An audio cassette in which Mahattya announced the death of his "dear

over the LITTE leadership was apparently circulating in the north. Mahattya had also called a conference of area com-

and cance a conterence of area com-manders of the northern province. The irxe believes that something calamitous has taken place in the trrr camp. Suid a top rex officer: "Every-thing points to Pirabhakaran's death." However, the fact that there was no word yet of Pirabhakaran's death on LITTE's commonly used means of communication, wireless radio, was reason for

cautions drawing of conclusions. The ethnic strife scenario in the north-east will alter dramatically if

